UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,850	03/25/2004	Steven J. Miener	BO1 - 0171US	6671	
60483 1 EE & HAVE	7590 01/10/2007	.•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES, PLLC 421 W. RIVERSIDE AVE.			ELDRED, JOHN W		
SUITE 500 SPOKANE, W	/A 99201	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
51 512 11 (2)			3641		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
	ONTUS	01/10/2007	FI FCTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/10/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhpto@leehayes.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/808,850	MIENER, STEVEN J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	J. Woodrow Eldred	3641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-32 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 33-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the examine are subject to the second	r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08232004	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/808,850 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 14-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10-20-06.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-7, 11, 33-36, and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Daume (6,943,299).

Daume discloses a clamp, with all claimed elements, for clamping an electrically conductive structure. Metal element 10 corresponds to the claimed strap; rubber elastic material (see column 6, line 22) 26, 28, 14, 16, 18, 20 corresponds to the claimed cushion; contact element 22, which is coupled to the elastic material and in electrical contact with the metal strap, corresponds to the claimed conductive material; and the "braid" of the connection element is considered to read over the "stitching" language in the claims.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/808,850

Art Unit: 3641

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 8-10 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daume (6,943,299) in view of Gazda et al (3,916,488).

Daume discloses a clamp, with most claimed elements, for clamping an electrically conductive structure. Metal element 10 corresponds to the claimed strap; rubber elastic material (see column 6, line 22) 26, 28, 14, 16, 18, 20 corresponds to the claimed cushion; contact element 22, which is coupled to the elastic material and in electrical contact with the metal strap, corresponds to the claimed conductive material; and the "braid" of the connection element is considered to read over the "stitching" language in the claims. Daume fails to disclose conductive metal material impregnated in the cushion or the clamp in a "P-shape". Gazda et al teach that it is known to have conductive material impregnated in a clamp cushion (see column 10, lines 50-55) and to form the clamp in a "P-shape" (see Figure 15). Motivation to combine is the mere substitution of known conductive elements within a clamp cushion to perform the same function and to make a minor shape change which does not affect the functioning of the clamp but could provide easier placement in some situations since the connection area would be offset relative to the connection area of Daume. To employ the teachings of Gazda et al on the clamp of Daume and have metal impregnated in the cushion and a "P-shape" for the clamp is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

6. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daume (6,943,299) in view of Matsui (4,609,171).

Daume discloses a clamp, with most claimed elements, for clamping an electrically conductive structure. Metal element 10 corresponds to the claimed strap; rubber elastic material (see column 6, line 22) 26, 28, 14, 16, 18, 20 corresponds to the claimed cushion; contact element 22, which is coupled to the elastic material and in electrical contact with the metal strap, corresponds to the claimed conductive material; and the "braid" of the connection element is considered to read over the "stitching" language in the claims. Daume fails to disclose the clamp

Application/Control Number: 10/808,850 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

in a "D-shape". Matsui teaches that it is known to form a clamp in a "D-shape" (see Figure 2). Motivation to combine is the mere substitution a minor shape change that does not affect the functioning of the clamp but could provide easier placement in some situations and allow easier mounting of the clamp to another surface by the base 34. To employ the teachings of Matsui on the clamp of Daume and have a "D-shape" for the clamp is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Antila and Harwath are considered to be of interest since they disclose conductive clamps.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Woodrow Eldred whose telephone number is 571-272-6901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J. Woodrow Eldred Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641